

## **Structured Settlements and Qualified Assignments: How federal tax rules benefit all parties in a claim**

Since 1983, the federal tax code has encouraged the use of structured settlements and qualified assignments to resolve physical injury and death claims. For the defendant, the combined structured settlement and qualified assignment offer several advantages. First, the assignment transfers full responsibility for all future payments to an independent third party. This removes from the defendant all future responsibility to the claimant for this case.

Second, IRS rules are clear that with a qualified assignment, the defendant or insurer may deduct the entire settlement cost immediately to the extent otherwise allowed by the tax code.

Moreover, the structured settlement and qualified assignment often result in open cases resolving faster and more efficiently than is possible with all-cash negotiations. For a claims office, this can reduce overhead costs, including outside legal fees.

---

### **Structured settlements: An introduction**

A lump sum recovery used to be the standard in personal injury cases. The injured claimant then faced the daunting challenge of managing a large lump sum to cover substantial ongoing medical and living expenses for decades, even for a life-time. Often, this lump sum swiftly eroded away. When the money was gone, the claimant was left still disabled and still unable to work. In such cases, responsibility to care for this disabled person fell to Medicaid and the public assistance system.

Structured settlements provide a better approach. A voluntary agreement is reached between the parties at the settlement table to settle the tort claim in exchange for the defendant's agreement to pay damages to the injured claimant in the form of a stream of periodic payments tailored to the future medical expenses and basic living needs of the claimant and his or her family. Often this payment stream is for the rest of the claimant's life to make sure that future medical expenses and the family's basic living needs will be met, and that the claimant will not outlive his or her compensation.

In addition to the benefit of providing long-term financial security for the claimant, a structured settlement often helps to resolve tort cases faster and more efficiently by focusing settlement discussions on what damages the claimant actually has suffered, and how best to match periodic payments to meet those future needs, rather than on an arbitrary lump sum payment for an injury.

### **Federal tax rules encourage and govern structured settlements**

Congress adopted special tax rules in the Periodic Payment Settlement Tax Act of 1982 to encourage the use of structured settlements to provide long-term financial security to injury victims and their families. (Public Law 97-473, codified in sections 104(a)(2) and 130 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, 26 U.S.C. 104(a)(2) and 130). These structured settlement rules have been working effectively for 30 years.

In the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997, Congress extended the use of structured settlements to workers' compensation to cover physical injuries suffered in the workplace.

### **Mechanics of the structured settlement and the qualified assignment process**

The tax rules enacted by Congress lay down a bright line path for a structured settlement. Congress has stipulated that a structured settlement of a physical injury claim under tort or workers' compensation must have the following key elements:

- The periodic payment obligation is negotiated and agreed to by the claimant and the defendant at the settlement table in resolution of the tort or workers' compensation claim (or in limited instances is created by judgment under a periodic payment of judgments statute, such as in the medical malpractice area).

- The periodic payments must constitute damages (other than punitive damages) on account of physical injury or sickness in tort or compensation for such physical injury or sickness under workers' compensation. The IRS has held that compensation provided by statute for physical injury or sickness also qualifies. (Treas. Reg. § 1.104-1(c)(2)).
- The periodic payments must be fixed and determinable at time of settlement as to amount and time of payment. Life contingent payments payable for lifetime of the claimant qualify as fixed and determinable for this purpose.
- The claimant must not have the ability to accelerate, defer, increase, or decrease the periodic payments.
- The periodic payments must be payable by the defendant or its liability insurer ("a party to the suit or agreement") or by an assignee who has assumed the defendant's periodic payment obligation under a qualified assignment under Internal Revenue Code section 130.
- An assignee who has assumed the defendant's periodic payment obligation under a section 130 qualified assignment must fund such periodic payments to the claimant using an annuity (or U.S. Treasury obligation) under which—
  - (1) the timing and amount of the payments under the annuity match the timing and amount of the periodic payments due to the claimant under the periodic payment obligation assigned from the defendant;
  - (2) the assignee designates the annuity as being used to fund a specified structured settlement; and
  - (3) the assignee purchases the annuity within 60 days of the date of the assignment of the periodic payment obligation from the defendant.
- The claimant may be given a security interest in the annuity.

Once the claimant and defense settle the physical injury claim in exchange for periodic payments to be made by the defendant, the full amount of the periodic payments constitutes tax-free damages to the injured claimant. The defendant then may assign its periodic payment obligation to a structured settlement assignment company (typically a single purpose affiliate of a life insurer).

The assignee typically funds its assumed obligation with an annuity purchased from its affiliated life insurer. This assignment is made in exchange for a lump sum payment by the defendant or its liability insurer to the assignee. In this way, the defense can close its books on the liability, and the claimant can receive the long-term financial security of an annuity issued by a financially-strong life insurance company.

The defendant or liability insurer may currently deduct the full amount of the lump sum payment made to the assignee to assume the periodic payment liability. (Treas. Reg. § 1.461-6, 26 CFR §1.461-6).

**Tax advantage to claimant:** Full amount of the payments is exempt from federal and state income taxes (as compared to a lump sum settlement, in which earnings from investment of the lump sum are fully taxable).

**Tax advantage to defense:** Full current deductibility of lump sum made to close out the claim by way of the lump sum payment to the assignee.

## Conclusion

Since 1983, the federal tax code has explicitly encouraged the use of structured settlements. The qualified assignment process has been an integral part of this process because of the tax and administrative benefits it offers to the defendant and to the liability insurer.

